Can CRVS and survey data provide insight into maternal deaths in South Africa?

The Sustainable Development Goals agenda sets the target to reduce the global maternal mortality ratio to less than 70 per 100,000 live births by 2030 - SDG Target 3.1 (UN 2019). Maternal mortality ratios "remain among the least equitable of all health indicators" both across and within countries (Cabal and Stoffregen 2009; Restrepo-Méndez and Victora 2014). Notwithstanding; the issue of measurement is important, particularly for sub-Saharan Africa with disproportionately high maternal mortality burden and where Civil Registration and Vital Statistics (CRVS) systems, that are the primary source of data for maternal mortality important for tracking the progress thereof, are not universally sound (Graham et al. 2016; Hill et al. 2018), except for South Africa (Mathers et al. 2005).

Maternal deaths are defined as having both temporal and causal relationship to the pregnant state (contrasted with surveys that only have temporal relationship). The cause of death is ascertained by the health practitioner, although maternal deaths are widely known to be affected by under-reporting and misclassification (Kao et al. 1997; Say and Chou 2011). Surveys – mainly censuses and sample surveys are a source of maternal mortality data, given the lack of progress in the CRVS systems (Cleland 1996). With the exception of specialised demographic surveillance systems (DSS) that have available cause of death data from mainly verbal autopsies (VAs), surveys do not include the cause of death (Garenne 2015). However, the assumption is that non-maternal deaths and omitted maternal deaths cancel each other out, so pregnancy-related deaths could approximate true maternal deaths (Hill et al. 2001) and that non-maternal deaths are insubstantial to bias the true maternal deaths (Garenne et al. 2013).

The United Nations organisation is strongly promoting questions on maternal mortality for inclusion in the 2020 round of censuses. In South Africa the maternal mortality module has long been included in surveys. However, in recent years, questions have been raised about whether maternal mortality questions should continue to be included in surveys. This is because it appears that the initial assumption for maternal deaths may not always hold. While PRMR and MMR deaths are distinguishable from DSS data (Garenne *et al.* 2013), and the latter is comparable to national figures (adjusted for underreporting and misclassification of maternal deaths - an approach suggested in research) (Dorrington et al. 2019), this is not true for other survey data.

The increase in the sample of the Demographic Health Surveys (DHS) has grown to almost double the initial sample size, including high standards for the surveys, making it possible to estimate mortality not previously possible (Cleland 1996)¹. Using the 3rd South African DHS conducted in 2016 pregnancy-related mortality (PRMR) and maternal mortality ratios (MMR) produced an estimated 536 and 475 deaths per 100 000 births respectively (ICFI 2012) – the latter is excluding the previously included accident-related deaths (Garenne 2015), effective with the DHS phase 7 (ICF 2017). Both PRMR and MMR are comparable to the 580 estimate derived using Census 2011 data (Statistics South Africa 2015), considering that the DHS time location is seven years before the survey, so the timing converge around 2010, with the Census 2011 data which refer to a year before the survey. Studies on limited geographies suggest that the health transitions, specifically the HIV/AIDS epidemic may have exaggerated the PRMR and MMR from surveys (Garenne *et al.* 2013; Mnyani et al. 2017) – this is consistent with research in countries equally affected by HIV/AIDS (Colbourn et al. 2013). In countries less-affected by the epidemic, HIV do not significantly affect the MMR (Makuei 2019).

It is against this background that the study seeks to estimate and characterise non-maternal deaths in survey data, and underreported and misclassified maternal deaths in CRVS data. This is by using mortality and cause of death data from the national CRVS system, and from VAs from the data from the Agincourt, Dimamo and Africa Health Research Institute DSSes located in three out of the nine provinces of South Africa that are run as part of the international INDEPTH and/or ALPHA networks, and locally the recently minted SAPRIN network. The maternal mortality--related deaths from the most recent 2011 census household deaths are also used. The CRVS adult deaths are >90 complete, meeting the UN standard for complete data (UN 2014), census deaths are 80 complete (StatsSA 2019), and therefore usable for demographic purposes using the 60 percent standard provided by Preston (1984). DSS are designed to capture all the deaths that occur within the sites' designated boundaries, and the completeness of mortality data from SAPRIN may vary across the sites. Using data from the multiple sources, the study provides an opportunity to investigate maternal mortality for the purposes of continued improvement of CRVS system in South Africa. Such research is also supported to better quantify maternal deaths using surveys, given that they are the region's main source of mortality data (Hill et al. 2018). There are previous suggestions to separate presentations for countries with pregnancy-related mortality (PRM) and maternal mortality (MM) (Garenne 2015)

¹Notwithstanding that DHS questions in some countries potentially produce implausible results because of contextual factors that affect the responses for maternal mortality-related questions during data collection (Rerimoi et al. 2019).

which would be of little help to eradicate maternal mortality in the most affected areas (Schulte-Hillen

and Cabrol 2014).

References

- Cabal, L and M Stoffregen (2009). Calling a Spade a Spade: Maternal Mortality as a Human Rights Violation. <u>Human Rights Brief 16</u>: 2-6.
- Cleland, J (1996). "Demographic data collection in less developed countries 1946-1996." <u>Popul Stud</u> **50**(3): 433-450.
- Cleland, J (1996). "Demographic Data Collection in Less Developed Countries 1946-1996." <u>Population Studies</u> **50**(3): 433-450.
- Colbourn, T, S Lewycka, B Nambiar, I Anwar, A Phoya and C Mhango (2013). "Maternal mortality in Malawi, 1977–2012." <u>BMJ Open</u> **3**(12): e004150.
- Dorrington, R, D Bradshaw, R Laubscher and N Nannan (2019). Rapid mortality surveillance report 2017. Cape Town: South African Medical Research Council.
- Garenne, M (2015). "Maternal mortality in Africa: investigating more, acting more." <u>Lancet</u> <u>Glob Health</u> **3**(7): 00027-00023.
- Garenne, M, K Kahn, MA Collinson, FX Gomez-Olive and S Tollman (2013). "Maternal mortality in rural South Africa: the impact of case definition on levels and trends." <u>Int</u> <u>J Womens Health</u> **5**: 457-463.
- Graham, W, S Woodd, P Byass, V Filippi, G Gon, S Virgo, D Chou, S Hounton, R Lozano, R Pattinson and S Singh (2016). "Diversity and divergence: the dynamic burden of poor maternal health." Lancet **388**(10056): 2164-2175.
- Hill, K, C AbouZahr and T Wardlaw (2001). "Estimates of Maternal Mortality for 1995." <u>Bulletin of World Health Organization</u> **79**(182-193).
- Hill, K, P Johnson, K Singh, A Amuzu-Pharin and Y Kharki (2018). "Using census data to measure maternal mortality: A review of recent experience." <u>Demographic Research</u> 39(11): 337-364.
- ICF. (2017). "New Data Available from DHS-7 Questionnaire: Maternal and Pregnancy-Related Mortality." Retrieved June 2019, from https://blog.dhsprogram.com/dhs7prmr/.
- ICFI. (2012). "The DHS Program STATcompiler: Funded by USAID." Retrieved January 2019, from http://www.statcompiler.com.
- Kao, S, LM Chen, L Shi and MC Weinrich (1997). "Underreporting and misclassification of maternal mortality in Taiwan." <u>Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand</u> **76**(7): 629-636.
- Makuei, G (2019). <u>Modelling and monitoring maternal mortality rate in South Sudan</u> Doctor of Philosophy (PhD), RMIT University.
- Mathers, CD, D Ma Fat, M Inoue, C Rao and AD Lopez (2005). "Counting the dead and what they died from: an assessment of the global status of cause of death data." <u>Bull</u> <u>World Health Organ</u> **83**.
- Mnyani, CN, EJ Buchmann, MF Chersich, KA Frank and JA McIntyre (2017). "Trends in maternal deaths in HIV-infected women, on a background of changing HIV management guidelines in South Africa: 1997 to 2015." J Int AIDS Soc **20**(3): 25022.
- Preston, S (1984). Use of direct and indirect techniques for estimating the completeness of death registration systems. Data Bases for Mortality Measurement: Papers of the Meeting of the United Nations/World Health Organization Working Group on Data Bases for Measurement of Levels, Trends and Differentials in Mortality, Bangkok, 20-23 October 1981 New York, United Nations: 66-76.
- Rerimoi, AJ, J Niemann, I Lange and IM Timaeus (2019). "Gambian cultural beliefs, attitudes and discourse on reproductive health and mortality: Implications for data collection in surveys from the interviewer's perspective." <u>PLoS One</u> **14**(5).
- Restrepo-Méndez, MC and CG Victora (2014). "Maternal mortality by age: who is most at risk?" <u>The Lancet Global Health</u> **2**(3): e120-e121.

- Say, L and D Chou (2011). "Better understanding of maternal deaths—the new WHO cause classification system." <u>BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology</u> **118**(s2): 15-17.
- Schulte-Hillen, C and J Cabrol (2014). "Indirect causes of maternal death." <u>The Lancet</u> **2**(10): pe565.
- Statistics South Africa. (2019). Estimating completeness of adult mortality data at subnational level. Pretoria, South Africa.
- ---. (2015). Census 2011: Estimation of Mortality in South Africa. Pretoria.
- UN (2014). Principles and Recommendations for a Vital Statistics System. New York.
- ---. (2019). "SDG Indicators: Metadata repository." Retrieved April 2019, from https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/metadata/.